

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Election Requirement

In furtherance to Applicant's previous election, with traverse, of the species identified by the Examiner as being embodied in Figures 4a-5c of the present application, Applicant further states that claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7-12, 15-17, 20-29 and 32-34 are readable on such species. Applicant inadvertently omitted this listing of claims in its first response to the Examiner's election/restriction requirement.

Applicant continues to maintain, however, that Species I and Species II, as identified by the Examiner, should be examined together in the present application. Consequently, Applicant reiterates its request that the Examiner withdraw the election requirement.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 09/13/2006

By: /Eric M. Gayan/

Eric M. Gayan
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 46,103
Standley Law Group LLP
495 Metro Place South
Suite 210
Dublin, Ohio 43017-5319
Telephone: (614) 792-5555
Facsimile: (614) 792-5536
E-mail: egayan@standleyllp.com